



~~SECRET~~

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
UNITED STATES ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND THREAT ANALYSIS CENTER
ARLINGTON HALL STATION
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22212

IAX-TA-R

SG1A

4 December 1980

SUBJECT: Special Analytic Report



THRU: Chief, Special Research Division
Room B5E32, NSA FANX III
Fort Meade, MD 20755

TO: Commander
US Army Intelligence and Threat Analysis Center
Arlington Hall Station
Arlington, VA 22212

SG1A

1. (S) The attached report forwards the evaluation of Grill Flame Special Analytic Report Information, [REDACTED] The information which was provided on target size, type, and mission has a high degree of correlation with other sources of information. While there is no confirming data of the target's location, I believe the source to be accurate and recommend that the information be used to fill a known intelligence gap.

SG1A

2. (S) The [REDACTED] (GOB) Task Force is updating the ground order of battle for [REDACTED] This update will be published as a supplement to the [REDACTED] GOB project. Considering the reliability of the information provided by the Special Actions Office, ADCSOPS, INSCOM, the results of [REDACTED] will be included in the supplement. Use of this information will be an intelligence community "first."

SG1A

3. (U) I recommend that this evaluation be forwarded to LTC Watt, Chief, Special Actions, ADCSOPS, INSCOM.

1 Incl
as

Paul S. Feyereisen

PAUL S. FEYEREISEN
MAJ, INF

C, [REDACTED] GOB Task Force

SG1
SG1
A

SG1
A

CLASSIFIED BY *cdw, ITAC*

~~SECRET~~

DECLASSIFY BY

4 Dec 86

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

2 December 1980

SUBJECT: Special Analytic Report Information (U)

SECTION I

REPORT REQUIREMENT

1. (U) The information provided as enclosure to this report was obtained in response to a collection requirement provided by your office. The information is from an unconfirmed source; therefore, caution is warranted in its use. This office has been tasked by the Commanding General, Intelligence and Security Command, to evaluate and comment on the operational value of the information provided, as well as to ascertain the accuracy of the source.
2. (U) In order to comply with this directive, request the information provided as an enclosure be evaluated and comments be provided this office no later than 15 November 1964. This should be accomplished by responding to questions provided within Section III of this report.

3. (U) Section II contains special instructions for the analysts.

SG1A 4. (U) Project Number:

5. (U) Requestor: SRD, ITAC, INSCOM.

6. (S) Source Number: 08/46.

7. (S) Interrogator Number: 14.

8. (S) Date Information was Obtained: 4 Sep 80. Times: FM---/TO:---

9. (S) Specific information provided by the requestor in support of required source targeting is: [REDACTED]

SG 1

10. (U) Specific information provided to the interrogator to aid in source targeting was: same as #9.

11. (U) Specific information provided to the source for target orientation was:
same as #9.

CLASSIFIED BY: Msg, HQDA(DAMI-ISH)
Dated: 051630ZJUL78
REVIEW ON: 2 Dec 2000

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

~~SECRET~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

SG1

12. (U) Specific information requested by analyst: ^A
13. (U) Date information forwarded: _____.
14. (U) Has additional data been requested: no.
15. (U) Priority: URGENT _____ ROUTINE _____.
16. (S) Remarks: Source 08/46 conducted two sessions on 4 Sep 80. This evaluation is based on information provided by source in both sessions. The target was addressed in both sessions.

SG1B

SECTION II

INSTRUCTIONS TO ANALYSTS

1. (U) The material you have been given to review has been acquired from a new and potentially valuable source of intelligence. Work is currently being conducted on how to improve the accuracy and reliability of the data. Your attention to the evaluation sheet and your remarks will be the basis for our assessment of this new collection technique. Therefore, the effort you expend will greatly assist us and will ultimately result in you receiving more information of increasing accuracy and reliability.
2. (U) While formulating your judgements concerning the information provided, the following comments concerning this new source of intelligence may be helpful. Foremost, the information is likely to consist of a mixture of correct and incorrect elements. Specifically:
 - a. (S) The descriptive elements are generally of higher reliability than judgements or labels as to what is being described (recreational swimming pool may be mistaken for water purification pools, an aircraft hull may be mistaken for a submarine hull, etc.). Therefore, seemingly appropriate descriptive elements should not be rejected because of mislabeling.
 - b. (S) The data often contains gaps (in a 3-building complex, for example, perhaps only two of the buildings may be described, and an airfield may be added that isn't there). Such gaps or additions should not be taken to mean that the rest of the data is necessarily inaccurate.
3. (S) Therefore, a recommended approach is to first examine the entire information packet to obtain an overall flavor of the response, reserving final judgement even in the face of certain errors, and then go back through for a more detailed analysis.
4. (U) If you have questions regarding the data you have received, or its evaluation please contact this office (IAOPS-H-S) at any time.

~~SECRET~~
NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

~~SECRET~~

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

SECTION III

TARGET EVALUATION

1. (U) For the summary evaluation, please check the following boxes as to the ACCURACY* of the submitted material.

	UNK	0	1	2	3	N/A
a. (S) Geographical locale description (terrain, water, etc.)	—	—	X	—	—	—
b. (S) Large-scale manmade elements (cities, buildings, silos, docks, railroad lines, airfields, etc.)	—	—	—	X	—	—
c. (S) Small-scale manmade elements (antennas, computers, tanks, missiles, offices, etc.)	—	—	—	—	X	—
d. (S) General target ambience (research, production, administration, storage, troop movements, naval activity, air activity, weapons testing, etc.)	—	—	—	—	X	—
e. (S) Relevant specific activities (nuclear testing, missile firing, CBW storage, ELINT monitoring, etc.)	—	—	—	—	—	X
f. (S) Personality (physical descriptions, actions, responsibilities, plans, etc.)	—	—	—	—	—	X

2. (S) Overall Utility: NONE _____ MARGINAL _____ USEFUL _____ VERY USEFUL X
CANNOT BE DETERMINED _____

N O T E: ITAC provided the following comments.

3

*Definitions for the accuracy scale are as follows:

0=Little correspondence (self-explanatory)

1=Mixed results (mix of correct and incorrect elements, but enough of the former to indicate source did collect against target.)

2=Good (Good correspondence with several elements matching, some incorrect information.)


3=Excellent (Good correspondence with unambiguous unique matchable elements and relatively little incorrect information.)

UNK=Unknown.

N/A=Not Applicable.


~~SECRET~~

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt



122mm multiple rocket launcher BM-21.


Figure 1



122mm howitzer D-30.

Figure 2

UNCLASSIFIED



130mm field gun M-46.

Figure 3

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt